1		HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
8	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. CR10-5219RBL
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER
10	v.	
11	BERNARD CURTIS DAVIS,	
12 13	Defendant.	
14	THIS MATTED comes on before the above	antitled court upon Defendant's "Pequest for
15		
16		
	the Court finds and rules as follows:	
18	The defendant seeks a copy of the "Notice of Removal" filed in his federal case. That	
19	document does not exist because his state criminal proceeding was not "removed" to this Court.	
20	The record reflects that defendant was charged in federal court by way of Complaint on January	
21	26, 2010 and that his state criminal proceeding was dismissed on or about January 27, 2010. As	
22	often happens, and properly happened here, defendants are charged criminally in state court, and	
23		
24		

later charged in federal court based on the same or similar conduct. The state charges are dismissed and the case proceeds in federal court. There was no impropriety. Defendant's motion [Dkt. #110] is **DENIED**. To the extent the defendant also seeks dismissal because of his erroneous belief that this Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction [See Dkt. #113], that motion is also **DENIED**. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated this 28th day of February, 2011. RONALD B. LEIGHTON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE